

# Processes in the Cloud-Atmospheric Boundary Layer-Surface (CAS) System Impacting Arctic Surface Energy Fluxes

P. Ola G. Persson<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Shupe<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Solomon<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Grachev<sup>1,2</sup>, and T. Uttal<sup>2</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), Boulder, CO  
<sup>2</sup>NOAA/ESRL/Physical Sciences Division, Boulder, CO

### Introduction

**Motive**  
Surface energy fluxes are key for understanding observed changes in Arctic sea ice and permafrost

**Objective**  
Determine key atmospheric processes in CAS system controlling Arctic surface energy fluxes

**Methodology**  
- Obtain observations of relevant cloud, boundary-layer, and surface characteristics and fluxes over Arctic sea-ice and at long-term terrestrial sites

**Surface Energy Budget (SEB) - links elements of CAS system**  
 Atmospheric surface energy flux,  $F_{atm}$   
 $F_{atm} = Q_{si} (1 - \alpha) + Q_{lo} - H_s - H_l = SW_{net} + LW_{net} - (H_s + H_l) = Rad_{net} - H_{turb}$   
 $\alpha = Q_{s0}/Q_{si} = \text{albedo}$ ;  $Q_{si}$ ,  $Q_{so}$ ,  $Q_{li}$ , and  $Q_{lo}$  - in/out going SW/LW rad. Fluxes,  $H_s$ ,  $H_l$  - turbulent sensible/ latent heat fluxes

### Surface Processes (impacts on $Q_{so}$ , $Q_{lo}$ , $H_s$ , $H_l$ )

**Albedo Changes** - seasonal or synoptic events; precipitation; phase change - soil, ice, snow, meltponds

**Enhanced roughness and drag ( $C_D$ ) over summertime sea ice by meltpond and lead edges increases  $C_H$  and  $C_E$  and thus  $H_s$  and  $H_l$**   
 $H_s = \rho c_p C_H U (T_s - T_a)$ ;  $T_s$ ,  $T_a$  - surface, air temperature,  $U$  - wind speed  
 $H_l = \rho L C_E U (Q_s - Q_a)$ ;  $Q_s$ ,  $Q_a$  - surface, air specific humidity

**SEBEA, April 23, 1998**  
smooth snow-covered ice,  $C_i = 1.0$

**SEBEA, July 27, 1998**  
many melt pond edges,  $C_i = 0.75$

### Cloud Processes (impacts on $Q_{si}$ , $Q_{li}$ , $H_s$ , $H_l$ )

**Arctic stratocumulus clouds generated by cloud-top cooling or surface-driven updrafts**

- modulated by surface, cloud, synoptic processes
- microphysical variations may be related to generation
- generation variations on multi-hour time scales

### Cloud phase in Sc determine surface radiative effects

- liquid water at cloud top: high longwave emissivity & shortwave reflectivity
- major impact on  $Q_{si}$  and  $Q_{li}$
- supercooled liquid maintained through microphysical interactions

$CF = Rad_{net}(all\ sky) - Rad_{net}(clear)$ ; cloud forcing

**Cloud phase sensitive to microphysical parameterization - WRF model using M-PACE cloud case at Barrow**  
 $N_0$  - size distribution y-intercept value

Run	Description	$N_{0s}$	$N_{0l}$	$N_{0i}$	$N_{0n}$
2M	Two-moment microphysics	1.0x	1.0x	4.0x	5.0x
1M	One-moment microphysics	1.0x	1.0x	1.0x	1.0x
1M2M	One-moment with 2M $N_0$ values	7.5x	4.0x	4.0x	5.0x

**Cloud Liquid Water Path (g m<sup>-2</sup>)**    **Ice Water Path (g m<sup>-2</sup>)**

**Single Moment Microphysics prognostic  $\alpha$  (mixing ratio)**  
 $N_0$  - fixed intercept parameter  
**Double Moment Microphysics prognostic  $N_0$  (mixing ratio, num. conc.)**,  $N_{0s}$  (g m<sup>-3</sup>)

**Cloud radiative effects produce responses in other SEB terms**

### Boundary-Layer Processes ( $Q_{si}$ , $Q_{so}$ , $Q_{li}$ , $Q_{lo}$ , $H_s$ , $H_l$ )

**Boundary-layer structure dependent on large-scale, cloud, and surface processes**

- cold-air advection aloft destabilizes lowest 700 m
- low-level clouds advect over observation site within cold air
- due to clouds,  $Q_{si}$  decreases and  $Q_{li}$  increases, though  $Q_{li}$  increase limited because  $T_{200\text{m}}$  decreases by 8 K;  $H_s$  cools surface
- as result of CAS interactions,  $F_{atm}$  and  $T_s$  increase only slightly

**Boundary-layer dynamic events control mesoclimate of many terrestrial sites**

- downslope wind events important for annual SEB at Alert
- mid-winter wind events impact soil temperature

**Mid-Winter Atmosphere-Soil Interaction**  
 1) Descent of atmospheric inversion with high-wind speed mountain waves can be traced to the snow surface, through the snow to the soil, and through the soil into the permafrost at 1.2 m depth.  
 2) Damping, smoothing, and phase lag of thermal wave occurs in snow and soil.

### Conclusions

- Continuous energy flux measurements with occasional intensive process-study observational periods - currently only possible at terrestrial sites
- Surface energy fluxes impacted by large variety and scale of CAS processes
- Important that key processes appropriately represented in models to elicit proper physical response to forcing changes

**Selected References**  
 Andreas, E. L. T., W. Horst, A. A. Grachev, P. O. G. Persson, C. W. Fairall, P. S. Guest, and R. E. Jordan, 2009: Parameterizing turbulent exchange over summer sea ice and the marginal ice zone. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, Submitted.  
 Persson, O., C. Fairall, E. Andreas, P. Guest, D. Perovich, 2002: Measurements near the Atmospheric Surface Flux Group tower at SHEBA. Near-surface conditions and surface energy budget. *J. Geophys. Res.* 107(C10), 8045, doi:10.1029/2000JC000705.  
 Shupe, M. D., P. Kollias, P. O. G. Persson, and G. M. McFarquhar, 2008: Vertical motions in Arctic mixed-phase stratiform clouds. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 65, 1934-1922.  
 Shupe, M. D. and J. M. Interiri, 2004: Cloud radiative forcing of the Arctic surface: The influence of cloud properties, surface albedo, and solar zenith angle. *J. Climate*, 17, 616-628.  
 Solomon, A., H. Morrison, O. Persson, M. Shupe, and J.-H. Baek, 2009: Investigation of microphysical parameterizations of snow and ice in Arctic clouds during M-PACE through model-observation comparisons. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 137, 3110-3128.