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Abstract

A statistical prediction model for weekly rainfall during winter over western North America is developed which uses tropical outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) anomalies as a predictor. The effects of El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) are linearly removed from the OLR to isolate the predictive utility of subseasonal variations in tropical convection. A single canonical correlation (CCA) mode accounts for most of the predictable signal. The rank correlation between this mode and observed rainfall anomalies over Southern California is 0.2 for a two-week lag, which is comparable to correlation between a weekly ENSO index and weekly rainfall in this region. This corresponds to a doubling of the risk of extreme rainfall in Southern California when the projection of tropical OLR on the leading CCA mode two weeks prior is extremely large, compared to times when it is extremely small. ‘Extreme’ is defined as being in the upper or lower quintile of the probability distribution.

The leading CCA mode represents suppressed convection in the equatorial Indian Ocean and enhanced convection just south of the equator east of the dateline. OLR regressed on the time series of this mode show an eastward progression of the suppressed region to just south of the Philippines at the time of maximum California rainfall enhancement. The region of enhanced convection east of the dateline remains quasi-stationary. Associated with this tropical OLR evolution is the development of upper tropospheric westerly wind anomalies near 30°N in the eastern Pacific. Synoptic-scale weather systems are steered farther east toward California by these enhanced westerlies.
Since most operational weather prediction models do not accurately simulate subseasonal variations in tropical convection, statistical prediction models such as the one presented here may prove useful in augmenting numerical predictions. An analysis of four years of operational week two ensemble predictions indicates that the level of skill provided by the statistical model is comparable to that of the operational ensemble mean. Since by week two the operational forecast model has lost its ability to represent convectively coupled circulation associated with the subseasonal tropical convective variability, the statistical model provides essentially independent information for the forecaster.
1. Introduction.

Variations of tropical convection on subseasonal time-scales are known to affect the distribution of precipitation over western North America in wintertime (Mo and Higgins 1998a,b, Jones 2000). However, many, if not all, current operational weather prediction models are unable to predict subseasonal variations of tropical convection, particularly those associated with the Madden-Julian oscillation (MJO), at ranges beyond a few days (Hendon et al, 2000). In fact, current data assimilation systems do not even capture aspects of the MJO very well, most likely because it occurs in a region of sparse observations where the analysis is heavily influenced by the assimilating model (Newman et al 2000). This suggests that precipitation forecasts over North America could be improved, particularly in the medium and extended range, by improving predictions of subseasonal variations in tropical convection.

Waliser et al (1999) developed a statistical model to predict tropical rainfall variability at lead times of 5-20 days and found that the statistical model performed considerably better than global numerical forecasts from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP). However, since their model used bandpass filtered OLR as a predictor, it cannot easily be used to make real-time predictions. In this study, we will present a statistical prediction model utilizing the latest 7-day average tropical OLR field to predict rainfall over western North America directly two weeks later. The model has been designed to be used as a real-time forecast model, and to isolate the predictability of western North American rainfall associated with subseasonal tropical convective variability. Since the value of El Nino/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in
predicting seasonal mean rainfall in this region has already been well established (Schonher and Nicholson 1989, Barnston et al 1999), we chose to focus on the predictability associated with subseasonal OLR variability by linearly removing the ENSO signal from the weekly OLR data. The predictive skill obtained by using subseasonal OLR as a predictor is compared with week two rainfall predictions produced by the NCEP operational ensemble prediction system (Toth and Kalnay 1993) and the predictability of weekly rainfall associated with ENSO. Since our model is linear, and only takes into account the initial distribution of tropical OLR, it can be considered a lower bound on the potential for increasing forecast skill by improving the representation of subseasonal tropical convective variability in operational prediction systems.

2. Data and Analysis Procedure.

The 2.5° gridded daily OLR dataset described by Liebmann and Smith (1996) is used as a proxy for tropical convection. Data for 25 winter seasons is used, from December – February (DJF) 1974/1975 to 1999/2000. DJF 1978/1979 is excluded, since much of the data is missing due to instrument problems. The data is smoothed to emphasize large-scale features using a Gaussian spectral filter (Sardeshmukh and Hoskins 1984) of the form \( \exp(-\left(n/20\right)^2) \), where \( n \) is the total wavenumber in spherical harmonic space. This is roughly equivalent to averaging the OLR over a circular region with a radius of about 800 km. After the spatial smoothing, the OLR data is interpolated to a 5° grid and then temporally smoothed by applying a seven-day running average. The linear ENSO signal in OLR is defined by linearly regressing the spatially smoothed, weekly OLR
data on to the first two principal components (PCs) of 7-day averaged sea surface temperature from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al, 1996). This linear signal is removed from the data in order to isolate the predictability associated with subseasonal tropical convective variations. This is typically done by band-pass filtering the data (as in Waliser et al 1999), but for real-time predictions band-pass filtering is problematic. Figure 1 shows the standard deviation of the smoothed weekly OLR field (with ENSO removed) from 30°N – 30°S, along with the analysis domain used (15°N – 25°S, 45°E – 80°W).

Precipitation from the reanalysis dataset is used as a predictand. Reanalysis precipitation is an average of the first six hours of a forecast and thus is subject to limitations and biases of the assimilating model (Kalnay et al, 1996). However, for wintertime conditions in which most of the precipitation is due to condensation in large-scale, dynamically forced ascent, the reanalysis precipitation field is less likely to reflect model errors than it is in summertime, when the precipitation is convective and driven more by local conditions. We chose to use reanalysis precipitation because it is available globally, and we had no a priori knowledge that the predictable signal associated with subseasonal variations in tropical convection would be located in regions where precipitation is directly measured. Since it turned out that the largest predictable signal occurs in California, we have redone a portion of the analysis with gauge data in that region (see section 5). A weak Gaussian spectral filter is applied to precipitation, of the same form as described above, but with an e-folding distance of 60 in wavenumber space. This is done to remove spurious precipitation in the analysis associated with the spectral

---

1 The four times daily SST in the reanalysis is interpolated from a daily analysis after 1981, prior to 1981 it is interpolated from a monthly analysis. However, the first two EOFs are strongly related to ENSO and thus have very long decorrelation time scales (much longer than a month), so this difference is not likely to be important.
truncation of specific humidity, particularly in the vicinity of steep topography. Figure 2 shows the standard deviation of DJF weekly reanalysis precipitation and the analysis domain (100°W – 160°W, 25°N – 65°N).

Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) is a technique that isolates the linear combination of data from a predictor field and the linear combination of data from a predictand field that have the maximum correlation coefficient. If all of the CCA vectors are used to make a forecast, the result is identical to a multiple linear regression forecast. The decomposition of the regression matrix into modes that maximize the correlation between the predictor and predictand distinguishes CCA from multiple linear regression. To avoid problems that arise because the number of observation times is less than the number of grid points in the spatial fields, the analysis is performed in the truncated principal component (PC) space of the two fields (Barnett and Preisendorfer, 1987). Computation of the canonical vectors then amounts to performing a singular value decomposition (SVD) of the covariance matrix between the normalized PCs of the predictor and predictand fields (Bretherton et al, 1992). The singular values are the canonical correlations. For most of the results presented here, the first 20 PCs were retained for both the predictor and predictand fields.

CCA is designed to work for fields whose probability distribution function (PDF) is Gaussian. Weekly precipitation can be significantly non-Gaussian, particularly in dry regions. Weekly tropical OLR also can be significantly non-Gaussian in descending regions, but in the subspace spanned by the leading EOFs OLR is fairly Gaussian (Winkler et al, 2000). To ensure that the skewness of the weekly precipitation PDF is not affecting the analysis, we have used the probability-integral transform described by Sardeshmukh et
al (2000) to convert weekly precipitation into a Gaussian variable with zero mean and the same standard deviation shown in Fig. 2.

To summarize, prior to computing the EOFs, tropical OLR is smoothed using a Gaussian spectral filter, 7-day averages are taken, the linear ENSO signal is removed, and the daily climatology of the resulting quantity is removed. Precipitation is spectrally smoothed, 7-day averages are computed, and the resulting data is transformed into a Gaussian quantity having the same variance. The daily climatology of this quantity is then removed. An EOF analysis is then performed, and a CCA is done in the PC space spanned by the first 20 modes of each variable. Truncations of 5, 10, 15 and 25 were also tried. Keeping more modes improves forecasts up to 20, but beyond that sampling error begins to degrade forecasts. Cross-validation or “jackknifing” (Barnett and Preisendorfer 1987) is used to assess the skill of the statistical model, so that for each of the 25 winter seasons only data from the other 24 winter seasons is used to construct the model. Statistical significance is assessed using a Monte-Carlo technique (Wilks, 1995) which assumes that data is not serially correlated from one year to the next.

3. **Forecast skill.**

Figure 3 shows the Spearman rank-order correlation (Press et al 1989) between the leading canonical predictor variable (a time series obtained by projecting the initial OLR data on the leading canonical predictor vector) and analyzed precipitation two weeks later, at each grid point for 25 winters of forecasts. Since this map shows the correlation of an index of tropical convective activity and analyzed precipitation, as opposed to the
correlation between forecast and analyzed precipitation, both positive and negative values indicate skill. A map of the correlation between precipitation forecast using the leading canonical vector and analyzed precipitation two weeks later looks like the absolute value of the quantity plotted in Fig. 3. The predictable signal associated with this vector consists of a dipolar pattern with opposite signed precipitation anomalies in California and British Columbia. The strongest predictable signal occurs off the coast of California, where correlations exceed 0.2. Forecast skill does not improve significantly by including more vectors, indicating that most of the predictable signal is captured by the leading vector.

In order to illustrate the potential utility of these forecasts, we have calculated the conditional probability of above normal precipitation associated with extreme values in the leading canonical variable. As discussed by Madden (1989), even a low correlation between predictor and predictand time series can allow for skillful prediction of shifts in the probability of extremes in the predictand. Here we define “above normal” to be in the upper tercile of the distribution, and “extreme” to be in the upper quintile of the distribution. This enables us to quantify how the occurrence of extreme values of the leading canonical predictor variable alters the risk of anomalously wet conditions over Western North America two weeks later. The results of these calculations are summarized by the ratio of the probability of above normal precipitation to the climatological probability (33%) when the leading canonical variable two weeks prior is in the upper or lower quintile of its distribution (Figure 4). Similar calculations were performed for seasonal temperature extremes associated with ENSO by Wolter et al (1999). Figure 4 shows that although the rank correlation between the leading canonical
variable and precipitation two weeks later is modest (0.2), the risk of above normal rainfall off the coast of California is increased by up to 50% two weeks after extremely large values of the leading canonical predictor variable are observed. Conversely, the risk of below normal rainfall is reduced by 50-60%. Risk ratios of about the same magnitude, but in the opposite sense, are found along the coast of British Columbia and in the Gulf of Alaska.

Another way to gauge the potential utility of subseasonal tropical OLR variability as a forecast tool is to compare it to other well-known predictors of precipitation over Western North America. ENSO is known to have a large impact on the probability distribution of seasonal mean precipitation in this region. Figure 5 quantifies its effect on the weekly precipitation distribution. The rank correlation between a weekly ENSO index (the first PC of tropical SST) and precipitation two weeks later is similar to that shown in Figure 3 in the California region. This indicates that subseasonal variations of tropical convection have at least as large an impact on the probability distribution of weekly precipitation in this region as does ENSO. Barsugli et al (1999) showed that ENSO had a very large positive impact on extended range operational ensemble forecasts of 500 hPa height in the Pacific-North American region during the winter of 1997/98. It is reasonable to expect that the impact of subseasonal tropical OLR variations on operational forecasts could just as large, at least in those cases where the projection of tropical OLR on the leading canonical vector is very high.

We have also directly compared gridded ensemble mean week two precipitation forecasts from the operational NCEP ensemble prediction system (Toth and Kalnay, 1993) to our CCA forecasts, for four winters (1996/1997 – 1999/2000). The rank correlation
between forecast and analyzed precipitation for the operational NCEP ensemble is shown in Figure 6a. The rank correlation between the leading canonical variable and analyzed precipitation for the same four-winter period is shown in Fig. 6b. This map differs from Fig. 3 only because of the shorter time period used to calculate the correlation. Because Fig. 6a shows the correlation between forecast and analyzed precipitation, while Fig. 6b shows the correlation of an index with analyzed precipitation, only positive values indicate skill in Fig. 6a, while both positive and negative values may indicate skill in Fig. 6b. The ensemble mean forecast has significant skill all along the West Coast, with correlations exceeding 0.4 in California for the four-winter period. The CCA forecast, using only the first canonical variable, has roughly the same level of skill along the West Coast for this four-winter period (Figure 6b). These forecasts contain essentially independent information, since the current operational models have little skill in predicting subseasonal variations in tropical convection at this forecast range (Hendon et al 2000, Waliser et al 2000). This is supported by the fact that the correlation between the CCA and ensemble mean forecasts along the West Coast is not significantly different than zero at the 95% level (not shown). Therefore, there is a significant potential for improving extended range precipitation forecasts along the West Coast by either; 1) improving the representation of subseasonal tropical convective variability in forecast models, or 2) blending the essentially independent information contained in statistical and numerical forecasts.

4. Diagnosis of the leading canonical vector.
Most of the predictable signal in West Coast precipitation comes from the leading pair of canonical vectors. In this section, we investigate the large-scale circulation and OLR fields associated with this pattern.

The structure of the leading canonical predictor vector is shown in Fig. 7. Enhanced convection just south of the equator and east of the dateline, with suppressed convection in the equatorial Indian Ocean is associated with an increased (decreased) probability of above (below) normal rainfall in California (British Columbia) two weeks later (Figure 3).

The evolution of the 7-day running mean OLR field associated with the leading canonical predictor variable (CPV), as defined by lag-regression, is shown in Fig. 8. A flare-up of convection east of the dateline, in the vicinity of the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ) is the primary feature associated with positive values of the CPV, along with a suppression of convection in the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean. The region of suppressed convection propagates eastward over the next two weeks, while the region of enhanced convection remains quasi-stationary and decays. The negative OLR anomaly over California at the verification time (Fig. 8c) is consistent with increased probability of rainfall in that region at two-week lag (Fig. 3).

Lag-regression of the CPV on 7-day running mean 200 hPa streamfunction is used to illustrate the evolution of the large-scale low frequency circulation field (Fig. 9). An upper tropospheric cyclone, with associated tropical westerly wind anomalies propagates eastward out of the Indian Ocean along with the suppressed convection. An upper tropospheric cyclone in the Eastern Pacific develops after the flare-up of convection east
of the dateline. This trough strengthens and moves slightly eastward between days 0 and 14.

The growth of anomalous upper-tropospheric westerlies in the Eastern Pacific occurs simultaneously with the onset of precipitation in California. We hypothesize that the mechanism for California rainfall enhancement is related to the steering effect of these westerlies on synoptic-scale weather systems in the Eastern Pacific. To illustrate this, we have used 7-day running mean high-pass filtered 850 hPa meridional wind variance as a proxy for synoptic-eddy activity. The high-pass filter used is simply the deviation of daily averages from five-day running means. Lag-regression maps (Fig. 10) show that there is a significant enhancement of synoptic-eddy activity off the coast of California in the two weeks after a positive projection of tropical OLR on the leading canonical predictor vector. We hypothesize that the development of the OLR anomalies associated with the CPV (Figure 8a) force upper-tropospheric westerly wind anomalies in the far eastern Pacific. These westerly wind anomalies act to steer weather systems farther east than usual, allowing them to reach the California coast rather than occluding and decaying in the Gulf of Alaska. The fact that both the tropical OLR anomalies and the associated upper tropospheric westerlies are fairly persistent once established leads to the predictability of California rainfall in the two week range shown in Fig. 3.

The OLR field associated with the CPV (Fig. 8) is fairly complicated. From a monitoring perspective, it would be useful to know which features are primarily responsible for the upper tropospheric wind signal in the Eastern Pacific. Fig. 8a shows that during times when there is a positive projection on the leading canonical predictor vector there are typically two opposite signed OLR anomalies, one located in the
equatorial Indian Ocean and one near $5^\circ$S east of the dateline. Here we use two indices that measure the strength of tropical convection in these two regions to identify the extratropical circulation features associated with each separately. The goal is to understand the relative roles of convection in these two regions in producing the California rain signal two weeks later. The indices we use are based on a rotated EOF analysis of the OLR data. As a measure of the Indian Ocean convection we use the expansion coefficient for rotated EOF 2, and for the eastern Pacific convection we use a linear combination of the expansion coefficients of rotated EOFs 5 and 9 (not shown). The OLR field regressed on these two indices (Fig. 11) shows that they indeed do capture the essential aspects of the OLR field typically associated with the CPV. The 200 hPa streamfunction field regressed on these two indices at two week lag (Fig. 12) show that, although both the Indian Ocean and eastern Pacific convective anomalies are associated with enhanced upper level westerlies off the coast of California two weeks later, enhanced convection in eastern Pacific is contributing much more to the total westerly wind signal associated with the CPV (Fig. 9c) than suppressed convection in the Indian Ocean. Therefore, we conclude that it is enhanced convection east of the dateline near $5^\circ$S that is the primary feature associated with the CPV that is responsible for the precipitation signal in California two weeks later. These results are in qualitative agreement with previous studies of the linear, steady state response to idealized tropical heating distributions (such as Ting and Yu, 1998), although there are significant differences in detail. For example, the upper tropospheric westerly wind signal off the coast of California is considerably farther east than linear, steady state dynamics would predict. This is likely due to
processes typically not represented in linear models, such as transient-eddy feedback, and to the restrictions imposed by the steady-state assumption.

5. Results with California rain-gauge data.

All of the results presented so far have used reanalysis precipitation as the predictand. As discussed in section 2, reanalysis precipitation is not directly constrained by observations, and therefore is subject to the limitations and biases of the assimilating model. In this section we present results with daily California precipitation gauge data as a predictand. We choose California because the results with reanalysis precipitation suggest that it is the region with the strongest predictable signal where gauge data is available.

Weekly California precipitation station data for the 24 winters between 1974/75 and 1998/99 (excluding 1978/79) is used. The data is derived from the daily precipitation dataset described by Eischeid et al (2000). 442 stations are available in California for this time period. As a predictand we use an average of all the stations in the Central and Southern Coast drainage climate divisions. The results are not significantly different if either of the two climate divisions is used alone, or if a multivariate analysis is performed using all California climate divisions. As before, a probability-integral transform is applied, and the daily climatology is removed. This yields an index of coastal California precipitation which is Gaussian distributed. Since the predictand is now a scalar, the CCA reduces to finding a vector representing the covariance between the leading 20 normalized PCs of tropical OLR and the normalized coastal California precipitation index two weeks
later. The predictor index is then simply the projection of the observed OLR PCs on to this vector. Cross-validation is used so that for a given winter season, only data from the other 23 winters is used to compute the covariance vector.

The rank-order correlation between the OLR predictor index and the coastal California precipitation index two weeks later is 0.2, which is comparable to that obtained with reanalysis precipitation (Fig. 3). Lag-regression of the 7-day running mean tropical OLR on to the OLR predictor index (not shown) yields an evolution very similar to that associated with the leading canonical predictor variable for reanalysis precipitation (Fig. 8). This indicates that reanalysis, at least in winter, does provide a reasonable estimate of precipitation variability in this region on weekly timescales. Fig. 13a shows the changes in the probability of above, below and near normal coastal California precipitation associated with extreme values of the predictor index. The risk of above normal weekly rainfall in coastal California is increased by 33%, relative to the climatological probability, when the OLR predictor index is in the upper quintile. Conversely, the risk of below normal precipitation is reduced by 66%. Similar results are obtained for below normal rainfall when the predictor is in the lower quintile. These results are very similar to that obtained for reanalysis precipitation in the California region (Fig. 4). Therefore, we conclude that the results presented here with reanalysis estimated precipitation provide a realistic estimate of the week two predictability of actual rainfall along the West Coast of North America associated with subseasonal tropical OLR variations.

6. Summary and conclusions.
Subseasonal variations of tropical convection can be used to improve the skill of two-week precipitation forecasts over western North America, and particularly in California. The level of skill obtained from a simple linear statistical model is comparable to that provided by current numerical forecasts (which do not accurately simulate subseasonal tropical convective variability). Using a weekly ENSO index as a predictor of California precipitation two weeks later also yields a similar level of skill. However, since the effect of ENSO on tropical convection is fairly well represented in numerical weather prediction models (Barsugli et al 1999), a weekly ENSO index does not yield additional predictive information. Most of the results presented here utilize reanalysis precipitation as a predictand, although similar levels of skill were shown using California rain-gauge data.

The dynamical mechanism associated with this predictability is primarily related to the remote forcing of upper tropospheric westerly wind anomalies just off the coast of California by enhanced tropical convection just south of the equator and east of the dateline. Synoptic-scale weather systems which would otherwise occlude and decay in the Gulf of Alaska are steered farther east toward the California coast by these enhanced westerlies. Anomalous tropical convection in the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean also plays a similar role in the prediction of California rainfall, although its impact on upper tropospheric westerlies in the Eastern Pacific is much weaker.

Higgins et al. (2000) have examined the relationship between extreme three-day precipitation totals along the West Coast of the United States and concurrent intraseasonal tropical OLR patterns. They found that extreme precipitation events in Southern California tend to be associated with enhanced tropical convection between 150°E and the
dateline, and suppressed convection just east of the dateline. This is quite different that
the pattern of tropical OLR which we find is associated with the highest predictability of
California rainfall two weeks later. We attribute this difference to the fact that our
analysis is designed to pick out patterns of OLR and West Coast precipitation that have
the highest correlation at two week lag, while the compositing used by Higgins et al
(2000) will reveal the pattern of OLR that tends to occur simultaneously with heavy
precipitation along the West Coast. These patterns need not be the same, and the fact
that they are not implies that the precipitation events in the Higgins et al (2000) composite
are not predictable two weeks in advance using tropical OLR information alone.

Jones (2000) has examined the relationship between the Madden-Julian (MJO)
oscillation (as defined by the leading two EOFs of 20-90 day bandpass filtered OLR) and
the frequency of extreme rainfall events in California. He found an increased frequency of
extreme events when the MJO was active. Our analysis uses the first 20 PCs of tropical
OLR, and therefore includes more than just the MJO. In fact, the leading canonical
predictor vector does not project very strongly on the first two EOFs of OLR, more than
10 EOFs are needed to represent its structure. In order to assess the relative importance
of the MJO, as opposed to other modes of subseasonal tropical convective variability, we
have redone the CCA using only the first two PCs of OLR. Fig. 14 shows the rank-order
correlation between the resulting leading canonical variable (which is primarily the leading
OLR PC) and the reanalysis precipitation two weeks later. Comparing with Fig. 3, it is
evident that the region of maximum predictability associated with the MJO is located over
the southwest U.S., instead of off the coast of California. When viewed as a domain
average, the predictability associated with the MJO is a relatively small portion of the total
predictability associated with subseasonal tropical convective variability. However, locally in southern California and the southwest U.S., the MJO appears to be producing most of the predictable precipitation signal. Therefore, we conclude that although the MJO may be a useful predictor of rainfall in southern California and the desert Southwest, there are other important aspects of subseasonal tropical convective variability that should be taken into account when making predictions over a larger area.

In this study we have shown that subseasonal tropical convective activity is linearly related to rainfall near the West Coast of North America two weeks later, and that this linear relationship can be used to improve precipitation predictions. However, we have not proven that the predictability is ultimately rooted in tropical processes, since the possibility remains that the OLR anomalies shown in Fig. 8a are being forced by circulation features of mid-latitude origin. To examine this question, we have produced CCA forecasts using streamfunction at 250 and 850 hPa, in the region 15-70°N and 60°E to 120°W, as a predictor. The computational procedure is the same as outlined in section 2, but instead of using 20 EOFs of tropical OLR as predictors, we use the first 20 EOFs of the combined vector of 250 and 850 hPa streamfunction (with ENSO linearly removed). Fig 15 shows the rank correlation between week two forecast (using all 20 canonical predictor vectors) and analyzed reanalysis precipitation, using tropical OLR as a predictor (Fig 15a), Northern Hemisphere extratropical streamfunction as a predictor (Fig. 15b), and extratropical streamfunction with the tropical OLR signal (as defined by the first 20 EOFs) linearly removed (Fig. 15c). Comparing Fig. 15a with Fig 15b, it is clear that a large part of the predictable precipitation signal along the West Coast cannot be captured using the extratropical circulation alone. Furthermore, Fig. 15c shows there is virtually no
predictive utility in that part of the circulation that is independent of tropical OLR, at least along the West Coast. Although we have not addressed the ultimate origin of the tropical OLR patterns shown in Fig. 8, if they were primarily forced by mid-latitude circulation anomalies, we would have expected forecasts of West Coast precipitation using mid-latitude circulation as a predictor to be at least as skillful as those using tropical OLR. These results suggest that most of the predictable signal in West Coast precipitation is related to tropical processes at two week lag. Although the mid-latitude circulation alone can be used to make skillful forecasts, most of the skill comes from that part of the circulation that is linearly related to (and most likely forced by) tropical convective processes.

The statistical model used here is linear, and does not use any mid-latitude circulation information. Presumably, the remote impacts of tropical convection may be affected by mid-latitude flow conditions, particularly in the vicinity of the Pacific Jet. Numerical weather prediction models, if they were able to accurately simulate subseasonal tropical convective variability, could conceivably exploit such nonlinear tropical-extratropical interactions. Therefore, the skill of statistical predictions presented here may be considered a lower bound on the potential for improved predictability of West Coast rainfall in the week two range. Until numerical models can better simulate tropical variability on subseasonal timescales, they should be augmented with statistical models, which provide essentially independent information associated with the state of tropical convection at the initial time. Exactly how to combine the output of statistical and numerical models to exploit the predictive information inherent in satellite measurements of tropical convection is an active area of research.
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Figure Captions:

Figure 1: Standard deviation of 7-day averaged, spectrally smoothed OLR with ENSO linearly removed. Contour interval is 2 Wm$^{-2}$. Values greater than 14 Wm$^{-2}$ are shaded. The boxed region is the domain used for the CCA.

Figure 2: Standard deviation of weekly, spectrally smoothed precipitation from reanalysis. Contour interval is 2 mm. Values greater than 21 mm are shaded. The boxed region is the domain used for the CCA.

Figure 3: The rank-order correlation between the leading canonical predictor variable (computed using the leading 20 PCs of tropical OLR) and the analyzed precipitation two weeks later. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted and shading indicates statistical significance.

Figure 4: Risk (relative to climatological risk of 33%) of precipitation being in the upper tercile given that the leading canonical predictor variable two weeks prior is in the upper (A) or lower (B) quintile. Shaded areas are significantly different than 1.0 at the 95% level, thicker contours are greater than 1.0. Contour interval is 0.1, and only contours less than 0.9 and greater than 1.1 are plotted.
**Figure 5**: The rank-order correlation between a weekly ENSO index (the leading PC of tropical SST) and analyzed precipitation two weeks later. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.

**Figure 6**: (A) rank-order correlation between analyzed and operational ensemble mean week two forecast precipitation for four winters (1996/1997 - 1999/2000). (B) rank-order correlation between analyzed precipitation and leading canonical predictor variable two weeks prior for same time period (which is different than the time period used to compute Fig. 3). Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.

**Figure 7**: Map of leading canonical predictor vector, representing tropical OLR. Positive contours are thicker, and the zero line is omitted.

**Figure 8**: OLR regressed on leading canonical predictor variable at lag 0 (A), 7 (B) and 14 (C) days. Maps are scaled for one standard deviation of the canonical predictor variable. Contour interval is 1.5 Wm$^{-2}$. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted and shading indicates statistical significance. Day 0 is the initial time for CCA forecasts, and day 14 is the forecast verification time.

**Figure 9**: As in Figure 8, but for 200 hPa streamfunction. Contour interval is 5 x 10$^6$ m$^2$s$^{-1}$ Contour levels greater than or equal to zero are thicker, and shading indicates statistical significance.
**Figure 10:** As in Figure 8, but for 850mb high-pass meridional wind variance. Contour interval is $1 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.

**Figure 11:** OLR regressed on indices of Indian Ocean (A) and eastern Pacific (B) convection. Contour interval $1.5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.

**Figure 12:** 200 hPa streamfunction regressed on indices of Indian Ocean (top panel) and eastern Pacific (bottom panel) convection at two-week lag. Contour interval $5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. Contour levels greater than or equal to zero are thicker, and shading indicates statistical significance.

**Figure 13:** (A) Conditional tercile probabilities for observed coastal California weekly precipitation when the OLR predictor index two weeks prior is in the upper and lower quintiles of its distribution. The OLR predictor is constructed as described in section 5, using the first 20 EOFs of tropical weekly OLR (with ENSO linear removed). (B) Conditional tercile probabilities for a persistence forecast (where the predictor is the observed weekly California rainfall two weeks prior).

**Figure 14:** As in Fig. 3, but for a canonical predictor variable computed using only the leading two PCs of tropical OLR.
Figure 15: The rank-order correlation between week two forecast (using the first 20 leading canonical predictor vectors) and analyzed reanalysis precipitation. The predictors used in the CCA were (A) the first 20 EOFs of tropical OLR, (B) the first 20 EOFs of combined 250 and 850 hPa streamfunction in the region 15-70°N, 60°E-120°W, and (C) the first 20 EOFs of 250 and 850 hPa streamfunction with that part linearly related to the first 20 EOFs of tropical OLR removed. Contour interval is 0.05, statistically significant positive correlations are shaded.
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Figure 1: Standard deviation of 7-day averaged, spectrally smoothed OLR with ENSO linearly removed. Contour interval is 2 Wm$^{-2}$. Values greater than 14 Wm$^{-2}$ are shaded. The boxed region is the domain used for the CCA.
Figure 2: Standard deviation of weekly, spectrally smoothed precipitation from reanalysis. Contour interval is 2 mm. Values greater than 21 mm are shaded. The boxed region is the domain used for the CCA.
Figure 3: The rank-order correlation between the leading canonical predictor variable (computed using the leading 20 PCs of tropical OLR) and the analyzed precipitation two weeks later. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted and shading indicates statistical significance.
Figure 4: Risk (relative to climatological risk of 33%) of precipitation being in the upper tercile given that the leading canonical predictor variable two weeks prior is in the upper (A) or lower (B) quintile. Shaded areas are significantly different than 1.0 at the 95% level, thicker contours are greater than 1.0. Contour interval is 0.1, and only contours less than 0.9 and greater than 1.1 are plotted.
Figure 5: The rank-order correlation between a weekly ENSO index (the leading PC of tropical SST) and analyzed precipitation two weeks later. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.
Figure 6: (A) rank-order correlation between analyzed and operational ensemble mean week two forecast precipitation for four winters (1996/1997 - 1999/2000). (B) rank-order correlation between analyzed precipitation and leading canonical predictor variable two weeks prior for the same time period. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.
**Figure 7**: Map of leading canonical predictor vector, representing tropical OLR. Positive contours are thicker, and the zero line is omitted.
Figure 8: OLR regressed on leading canonical predictor variable at lag 0 (A), 7 (B) and 14 (C) days. Maps are scaled for one standard deviation of the canonical predictor variable. Contour interval is 1.5 Wm$^{-2}$. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted and shading indicates statistical significance. Day 0 is the initial time for CCA forecasts, and day 14 is the forecast verification time.
Figure 9: As in Figure 8, but for 200mb streamfunction. Contour interval is $5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. Contour levels greater than or equal to zero are thicker, and shading indicates statistical significance.
Figure 10: As in Figure 8, but for 850mb high-pass meridional wind variance. Contour interval is 1 m²s⁻². Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.
Figure 11: OLR regressed on indices of Indian Ocean (A) and eastern Pacific (B) convection. Contour interval 1.5 Wm$^{-2}$. Positive contours are thicker, the zero line is omitted, and shading indicates statistical significance.
Figure 12: 200 hPa streamfunction regressed on indices of Indian Ocean (top panel) and eastern Pacific (bottom panel) convection at two-week lag. Contour interval $5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. Contour levels greater than or equal to zero are thicker, and shading indicates statistical significance.
Figure 13: (A) Conditional tercile probabilities for observed coastal California weekly precipitation when the OLR predictor index two weeks prior is in the upper and lower quintiles of its distribution. The OLR predictor is constructed as described in section 5, using the first 20 EOFs of tropical weekly OLR (with ENSO linear removed). (B) Conditional tercile probabilities for a persistence forecast (where the predictor is the observed weekly California rainfall two weeks prior).
Figure 14: As in Fig. 3, but for a canonical predictor variable computed using only the leading two PCs of tropical OLR.
Figure 15: The rank-order correlation between week two forecast (using the first 20 leading canonical predictor vectors) and analyzed reanalysis precipitation. The predictors used in the CCA were (A) the first 20 EOFs of tropical OLR, (B) the first 20 EOFs of combined 250 and 850 hPa streamfunction in the region 15-70°N, 60°E-120°W, and (C) the first 20 EOFs of 250 and 850 hPa streamfunction with that part linearly related to the first 20 EOFs of tropical OLR removed. Contour interval is 0.05, statistically significant positive correlations are shaded.