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This User Guide is intended for:

- **Managers:** Those who monitor drought conditions in order to manage resources and make decisions; e.g., ag producers, and water, fire, forests, range, and wildlife managers

- **Translators:** Those who work closely with the above groups in an advisory or outreach role and/or disseminate drought information; e.g., NWS forecasters, State climatologists, drought coordinators

- **Researchers:** Those who study the drought phenomenon to better understand its causes, manifestations, and impacts

- **The level of information in the Guide is most suited for the first two groups.** But researchers may find the overview useful before delving more into the technical background on EDDI.
What this User Guide provides

Clear and concise explanations of:

- Evaporative Demand ($E_0$) and why it is important to drought

- How EDDI is calculated and how it depicts Evaporative Demand

- How EDDI relates to other drought indicators

- How to interpret EDDI maps over different time windows

This User Guide will be updated based on user feedback. Please let us know if it was helpful, and how it might be improved.

Send feedback to Jeff Lukas, lukas@colorado.edu
EDDI in a nutshell

- EDDI is a drought index based on the “thirst” of the atmosphere—which leads to the drying of soils and vegetation, and also reflects that drying

- More technically: EDDI shows the anomaly* in daily evaporative demand aggregated over a specified time window, at a given location

- EDDI is calculated from observations of the atmosphere near the land surface: temperature, humidity, windspeed, and solar radiation

- EDDI can provide added value to other drought indicators, especially for early warning and flash drought detection

*i.e., the unusualness of the current conditions as compared to the range of historical conditions*
Key features of EDDI

• EDDI maps are produced in near-real-time, with a ~5-day lag

• EDDI is calculated over multiple time windows (like the Standardized Precipitation Index; SPI), to suit different applications

• EDDI maps have a spatial resolution of 1/8-degree (~12 km or ~7 miles)

• EDDI uses a classification scheme that is equivalent to the US Drought Monitor categories (D0, D1, D2, etc.)

• EDDI is not sensitive to the land-cover type, so it is appropriate for use in all regions
What EDDI is not

- EDDI doesn’t directly measure on-the-ground conditions—though EDDI values are strongly influenced by surface moisture conditions.
- EDDI is not a drought prediction, but at short timescales, it indicates the potential for drought emergence.
- EDDI is not a measure of actual evapotranspiration (i.e., ET).
Drought results from moisture imbalance at the land surface

- The moisture status at the land surface reflects the balance of gains from precipitation and losses from evapotranspiration (ET).

- Drought (inadequate surface moisture) is typically initiated by below-normal precipitation (reduced gains), and worsened by above-normal evaporative demand (increased losses).

- ET is the rate of actual moisture loss to the atmosphere, usually expressed as in./day or mm/day, from soils, open water, and/or vegetation at one location.

- ET is coupled to evaporative demand ($E_0$) but unlike $E_0$, ET is constrained by the surface moisture supply.

- ET can never exceed $E_0$, and is often less.
Evaporative demand ($E_0$) is the “thirst of the atmosphere”

- $E_0$ is the ET that would occur given an unlimited surface moisture supply
- $E_0$ is easier to quantify than ET
- $E_0$ can be estimated by one of several methods:
  - Reference ET ($ET_0$)
  - Potential ET (PET)
  - Pan evaporation
- Accurate estimates of Reference ET, such as used in EDDI, require these variables:
  - Temperature
  - Humidity
  - Wind speed
  - Solar radiation

also known as a “fully physical” estimate
The “normal” $E_0$ varies widely from place to place, with higher $E_0$ in dry and hot places like west Texas, and lower $E_0$ in cool and wet places like Maine.

Mean annual $E_0$ (mm), 1981-2010

The mean annual $E_0$ varies by a factor of ~3 between the dry-hot Southwest and the cool-wet Northeast.

Figure: Mike Hobbins, adapted from Hobbins (2016), Trans. ABABE
$E_0$ has a large seasonal cycle, peaking in the summer

- When $E_0$ in the summer is even higher than usual (as in 2012, below) it often reflects the onset or rapid intensification of drought conditions.

Daily $E_0$ for the Midwest US (1980-2016), with summer values highlighted.
The relationship between $E_0$ and $ET$ changes as the land surface dries out

- When sufficient surface moisture is available, rising $E_0$ leads to rising $ET$
- When moisture is limited, $ET$ declines, while $E_0$ rises even more steeply
- Regions with a more arid climate (yellow and red below) are often in the moisture-limited state under ‘normal’ conditions

![Diagram showing the relationship between Evaporative Demand (E₀) and Evapotranspiration (ET)]
In other words: Unusually high evaporative demand ($E_0$) can lead to moisture stress on the land surface, and ultimately to drought—even when precipitation has been near-normal.
Once drought has developed, the now-dry land surface makes the air above the surface warmer and drier—which further increases **evaporative demand**
How EDDI is calculated

Start with meteorological inputs for each gridcell (temperature, humidity, wind speed, solar radiation) from NLDAS-2, 1/8-degree gridded met data

Calculate daily Reference ET ($ET_0$; estimate of $E_0$) and aggregate it over the selected time window using the ASCE variant of the Penman-Monteith equation*

Determine where that aggregated $E_0$ value slots into the climatology (1980-present) for each gridcell using rank-based non-parametric probabilities

*identical to the FA0 56 variant of the Penman-Monteith at daily timescales
EDDI categories are derived from the distribution of aggregated $E_0$ values; selected percentiles used as thresholds for the categories.

On the dry end, EDDI uses the same percentile breaks as in the US Drought Monitor.
In the summer, any given EDDI category will reflect a much larger aggregated $E_0$ value than in other seasons

- So when EDDI is in a drought category during the summer, the drought impacts are generally greater than during the cooler seasons
- That said, emergence of ED3 or ED4 in other seasons can still indicate high risk of significant impacts, such as wildfires (below, Sunshine Fire)

**Daily $E_0$ for Boulder, CO, with 30-day running mean (2009-2017)**

- **June 25, 2012 – Flagstaff Fire**
  - 1-month EDDI: **ED4**

- **March 19, 2017 – Sunshine Fire**
  - 1-month EDDI: **ED4**
How the physical basis of EDDI compares with other drought indicators - part I

**EDDI**
Anomaly in estimated Evaporative Demand (E₀) over a user-selected time window, where E₀ is estimated from observed Temperature, Humidity, Wind speed, and solar Radiation

**SPI (Standardized Precipitation Index)**
Anomaly in observed Precipitation (P) over a user-selected time window of interest

**SPEI (Standardized Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index)**
Anomaly in the difference between observed Precipitation (P) and estimated Potential Evapotranspiration (PET; equivalent to E₀*) over a user-selected time window

**PDSI (Palmer Drought Severity Index)**
Simulated soil-moisture balance anomaly, calculated from observed Precipitation (P) and an estimate of E₀*, with an effective time window of ~6-12 months

*Some sources of SPEI and PDSI maps and data use a fully physical estimate of E₀; others use a rough estimate of E₀ from Temperature only.*
EDDI
Anomaly in estimated Evaporative Demand ($E_0$) over a user-selected time window, where $E_0$ is estimated from observed Temperature, Humidity, Wind speed, and solar Radiation

ESI (Evaporative Stress Index)
Anomaly in the ratio of ET to $E_0$, where ET is calculated using leaf-area index (LAI) and land-surface Temperature from satellite data, and $E_0$ is from a fully physical estimate, over a user-selected time window

USDM (U.S. Drought Monitor)
Quasi-objective blend of multiple drought indicators: SPI, Palmer Indices, modeled soil moisture, observed streamflow, reported drought impacts, and other indicators; inherent time window varies by season/region

VegDRI
Blend of multiple drought indicators: 9-month SPI, Palmer Index, and satellite-sensed vegetation greenness and leaf-out anomaly; effective time window of several months
It's good practice to compare different drought indicators

- EDDI and the other indicators capture different aspects of the moisture balance at the land surface; EDDI is unique in focusing on evaporative demand.

- Different indicators also have different time windows over which conditions are aggregated—whether the window is user-selected or “baked into” that index.

- Thus, different indicators can speak to some drought impacts better than others.

- Looking at multiple indicators provides a “convergence of evidence”, e.g., to support a drought designation.

- The differences between indicators can also provide insight into how drought conditions are emerging and causing impacts.
For example, the 3-month EDDI for May-July 2002 shows a drought pattern very similar to other indicators used for agricultural drought impacts ("convergence of evidence").
The basics of reading an EDDI map

An “EDDI month” is 30 days, so this 3-month map is based on evaporative demand from February 4 to May 4, 2017 (90 days).

The most recent EDDI maps lag the current date by ~5 days—so this map was released around May 9, 2017.

Evaporative demand was unusually low for Feb 4-May 4 in the Pacific Northwest into the Rockies.

Evaporative demand was unusually high for Feb 4-May 4 in the Ohio Valley, Florida, and the western Great Plains. (ED4 means that conditions this dry are expected in only 2% of Feb 4-May 4 periods.)

The names, colors, and percentile breaks for the drought categories are analogous to those for the US Drought Monitor.

The Drought and Wetness categories for a given number have the same expected frequency (e.g., ED2 and EW2).
Interpreting EDDI at different time scales

*The simple version:*

Long-term (>3-month)= drought has emerged or is persisting
Short-term (2-week to 3-month)= potential for drought emergence/intensification

**12-month EDDI**

![12-month EDDI categories for May 4, 2017](image)

**2-week EDDI**

![2-week EDDI categories for May 4, 2017](image)

Unusually high evaporative demand over past 12 months in southern New England and Ohio Valley reflects persistently dry surface conditions (i.e., drought)

Above-normal evaporative demand over 2 weeks in Southwest and Southern Plains could signal drought emergence
Interpreting EDDI at different time scales
By comparing different time windows, you can infer changes and trends

2-week
(Apr 21 – May 4)
Most recent 2 weeks have had below-normal evaporative demand (EW1), a change from prior above-normal demand

3-month
(Feb 5 – May 4)
Overall, early spring had unusually high evaporative demand (ED3 and ED4), strongly indicating drought emergence

6-month
(Nov 5 – May 4)
Winter and early spring had high evaporative demand overall (ED1-ED4), with higher values for early spring than for winter

12-month
(May 5 - May 4)
The past 12 months saw high evaporative demand overall (mainly ED1), led by the very high values in winter and early spring
EDDI can give early warning of *flash drought*—i.e., rapid-onset drought that develops in several weeks

- In May-July 2012, the 2-week EDDI captured severe drought conditions in the Midwest up to ~2 months before the US Drought Monitor
More flash-drought early warning:
In May-July 2017 in the Northern Plains, the 1-month EDDI picked up the drought signal in eastern Montana 1-4 weeks ahead of the 1-month ESI.

Figures: Dan McEvoy (EDDI); Chris Hain (ESI)
Keep in mind: Not all areas with new EDDI “hotspots” at short time windows (e.g., 2 weeks, 1 month) will see persistence of dry conditions and emergence of drought impacts, but many will—so they are worth keeping an eye on, especially in spring and summer.

1-month EDDI in Four Corners region (UT, CO, AZ, NM)

June 4, 2017
Evaporative demand normal or low across region

July 4, 2017
Unusually high evaporative demand in June – which is typically a dry month anyways – WATCH OUT

August 4, 2017
OK - July monsoon rains came in well above normal; unusually low evaporative demand
Agricultural drought monitoring with the 2-week EDDI
Summer 2015 in the Wind River Indian Reservation, north-central Wyoming:
EDDI shows anomalously high $E_0$ from early August; ag impacts occurred throughout September; USDM finally shows some drying in late September.
**Ongoing research:** Potential applications of EDDI in wildfire risk monitoring and hydrologic monitoring are being evaluated

- $E_0$/EDDI show strong relationships with seasonal fuel moisture (right) and seasonal runoff (below), despite not including precipitation directly.
- Research is ongoing to assess the added value of EDDI relative to more traditional indicators in these fields.

Sacramento River Basin EDDI vs. Runoff Index (SRI)

$R^2 = 0.72$

$E_0$ - fuel moisture relationship across S. California

$R^2 = 0.74$

1000-hour fuel moisture, May-Oct (%)

12-month $E_0$ in June (mm)

Figures: Dan McEvoy
**Ongoing research:** Splitting evaporative demand ($E_0$) into its four meteorological drivers can help diagnose the causes of the demand side of drought

Example: Drought intensification (increasing $E_0$) was caused by:

• First, below-normal *Humidity*

• Then, increasing *Temperature* and, to a lesser degree, *Radiation*

• *Wind speed* played little role

Figure: Mike Hobbins
Where to get current EDDI maps

**US maps, all time windows from 1 week to 12 months:**

**EDDI homepage**
https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/eddi/
and click the “Current Conditions” tab
Or Google: EDDI drought

**Regional maps in western US, selected time windows:**

**CCC-NIDIS Intermountain West Drought Briefing**
http://climate.colostate.edu/~drought/

**WWA Climate Dashboards**
http://wwa.colorado.edu/climate/dashboard.html
http://wwa.colorado.edu/climate/dashboard2.html
Where to get past EDDI maps

*US maps, all time windows from 1 week to 12 months, from 1980 to present.*

EDDI homepage – EDDI Map Archive
https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/eddi/
and click the “EDDI Map Archive” tab
Where to get historical time-series of EDDI

EDDI homepage
https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/eddi/
and click the “Time Series” tab
Or Google: EDDI drought

Other EDDI data needs?
Contact mike.hobbins@noaa.gov or daniel.mcevoy@dri.edu
For further technical background on EDDI, see this pair of peer-reviewed papers


*If you can’t access these papers via the above links, or need other technical information about EDDI, contact contact mike.hobbins@noaa.gov or daniel.mcevoy@dri.edu*
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