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Why CFC-11?

• Slow-down in the global decline of atmospheric CFC-11 from 2013 most likely caused by an increase in global emissions (Montzka et al, 2018);

• East Asia is a likely source of some, or all, of this increase (Montzka et al, 2018; Rigby et al, 2019);

• To close the global budget, we estimated emissions of CFC-11 and CFC-12 over Western Europe (IE, UK, FR, DE, BE, NE, LU, DK, IT, CH, AT, ES, PT) using atmospheric observations from 4 measurement sites of the AGAGE network;

• We compared results from 3 independent atmospheric inversion systems
To understand the recent history of the air arriving at measurement stations
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3 Inverse Modelling Systems

- **ECMWF-FLEXPART-Urbino**
  - 20d back trajectories; 40,000 parcels; 3hrly
  - 1°x1° meteorology
  - Bayesian inversion

- **ECMWF-FLEXPART-Empa**
  - 10d back trajectories; 50,000 parcels; 3hrly
  - 0.2°x0.2° nested (Alps), 1°x1° global meteorology
  - Bayesian inversion

- **UK-NAMESPACE-InTEM**
  - 30d back trajectories; 40,000 parcels; 2hrly
  - 0.1°x0.1° nested (UK), 40-12km global meteorology
  - Bayesian inversion
Two priors tested
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Average $\sim 2.8 \pm 0.5$ kt/yr
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CFC-11 emissions over Western Europe
Geographical Distributions

Uncertainties
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Summary

• 3 Inverse Modelling Systems used using two independent underpinning 3D meteorology (Met Office and ECMWF);

• Sharp decline in emissions from Western Europe in 1990s;

• CFC-11 emissions for Western Europe 2012-17 $\rightarrow$ 2.8 ± 0.5 kt/yr avg corresponding to less than 4% of global emissions;

• Avg decline 2012-17 $\rightarrow$ ~0.15 kt/yr (~5 [2-7]%/yr);

• Violation of the MP not likely, emission rates seem consistent with emissions from banks;

• In Europe the strongest CFC-11 source regions is BENELUX
  • By- product of HCFC-22 production?
  • Higher intensity of polyurethane (CFC-11) foam production and use in Benelux, vs higher use of extruded polystyrene (CFC-12) in Southern Europe?

Thank you!
Extra slides
FLEXINVERT (Uni Urbino)

- FLEXPART is a Lagrangian particle dispersion model (Stohl et al., 1998);

Model setting:
- SRR (Source Receptor Relationship) obtained from FLEXPART 20 d backward calculations;
- ECMWF data 1° x 1° resolution;
- 40,000 particles released every 3 h.

- The “SRR Source receptor relationship” value in a particular grid cell is proportional to the particle residence time in that cell and measures the simulated mixing ratio at the receptor that a source of unit strength in the cell would produce.
- Multiplying the SRR with an emission flux taken by an appropriate emission field gives the simulated mixing ratio at the receptors to be compared with the measurements.
- The FLEXPART output is ingested by the inversion algorithm based on the analytical inversion method by Stohl et al. (2009);
- Minimization of cost function measure the misfit between model and observations and the measure the difference from a priori values.
FLEXPART-Empa Inversion System

Transport
- FLEXPART-ECMWF (V9.2)
  - 0.2°x0.2° nest, 1°x1° global
  - Backward simulations for individual sites
  - 3-hourly releases of 50’000 particles per site
  - 10 day backward

Inversion
- Bayesian inversion (Stohl et al. 2009, Henne et al. 2016)
  - Reduced inversion grid
  - Baseline for each site part of state vector
  - Positive solution enforced by iterative adjustment of a priori uncertainty
  - Spatio-temporal correlations considered in covariance matrices

source sensitivity: 1 site, 1 time
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