

PRECISION REQUIREMENTS FOR SPACE-BASED X_{CO_2} DATA

C. E. Miller¹, D. Crisp¹, P. L. DeCola², S. C. Olsen³, J. T. Randerson⁴, P. Rayner⁵, D. J. Jacob⁶,
D. Jones⁷, P. Suntharalingam⁶, S. C. Doney⁸, S. Pawson⁹, H. Boesch¹, L. R. Brown¹, B. J.
Connor¹⁰, I. Y. Fung¹¹, D. O'Brien¹², R. J. Salawitch¹, S. P. Sander¹, B. Sen¹, P. Tans¹³, G. C.
Toon¹, P. O. Wennberg¹⁴, S. C. Wofsy⁶, Y. L. Yung¹⁴, Z. Kuang¹⁴, V. Natraj¹⁴, D. Feldman¹⁴, Z.
Yang¹⁴, M. Christi¹²

¹*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California USA,
charles.e.miller@jpl.nasa.gov*

²*NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC USA*

³*Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico USA*

⁴*Earth Systems Science, University of California, Irvine, California USA*

⁵*Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), Universite Paris, Paris, France*

⁶*Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA*

⁷*Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*

⁸*Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts USA*

⁹*Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland USA*

¹⁰*National Institute of Water and Atmosphere (NIWA), Lauder, New Zealand*

¹¹*Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, California*

¹²*Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado*

¹³*Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration, Boulder, Colorado*

¹⁴*Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA*

ABSTRACT

The Orbiting Carbon Observatory (OCO) mission will deliver space-based observations of atmospheric CO₂ with the potential to resolve many of the uncertainties in the spatial and temporal variability of carbon sources and sinks. Our assessments of the measurement requirements for space-based remote sensing of atmospheric CO₂ conclude that the data must support retrievals of the column-averaged CO₂ dry air mole fraction, X_{CO_2} , with precisions of 3 to 4 ppm to resolve the annually averaged gradients between the Northern and Southern hemispheres, but higher precision (1 to 2 ppm) will be needed to resolve East-West gradients and questions like the location and spatial extent of the Northern Hemisphere terrestrial carbon sink. These conclusions are derived from the results of observational system simulation experiments (OSSEs) and synthesis inversion models [Rayner and O'Brien, 2001; O'Brien and Rayner, 2002; Rayner et al., 2002]. The X_{CO_2} precision requirements also considered the OCO mission design, the amplitude of X_{CO_2} spatial and temporal gradients, and the relationship between X_{CO_2} data precision and regional scale surface CO₂ flux uncertainties inferred from X_{CO_2} data.

We ingested simulated OCO X_{CO_2} data into synthesis inversion models to quantify the dependence of inferred surface-atmosphere CO₂ flux uncertainties on X_{CO_2} precision. Regional scale (~10⁶ km²) CO₂ surface flux uncertainties scale almost linearly with the X_{CO_2} data precision for X_{CO_2} precisions ranging from 1 to 5 ppm (0.3 to 1.4%). The dense, uniform spatiotemporal sampling of the space-based X_{CO_2} retrievals will reduce the uncertainties in inferred surface-atmosphere CO₂ fluxes compared to the fluxes inferred from the GLOBALVIEW-CO₂ network [Schnell et al., 2001] even if the space-based X_{CO_2} data have precisions on the order of 5 ppm on regional scales. Regional scale X_{CO_2} precisions of 1 to 2 ppm (0.3 to 0.5%) are needed to improve our understanding of the temporally varying carbon cycle processes such as the Northern Hemisphere terrestrial carbon sink, fossil fuel and biomass combustion, and the response of land biosphere and ocean to seasonal and interannual climate variability.

Our OSSEs revealed that the impact of systematic X_{CO_2} biases on CO_2 flux uncertainties depends on the spatial and temporal extent of each bias since CO_2 sources and sinks are inferred from regional-scale X_{CO_2} gradients. Source-sink inversion modeling demonstrated that systematic biases as small as 0.1 ppm could be identified in the X_{CO_2} data product. Constant X_{CO_2} biases on continental to global scales do not affect the CO_2 flux uncertainties since they introduce no error into the X_{CO_2} gradients. Small undetected biases occurring on sub-regional scales ($< 10^6 \text{ km}^2$) will appear as small increases in the random noise level and should not affect the CO_2 flux inversions. Persistent geographic biases at the regional to continental scale will have the largest impact on the inferred CO_2 surface fluxes. The design of the OCO validation program has emphasized the capability to identify and correct regional to continental scale X_{CO_2} biases.

Several outstanding bias issues remain to be quantified more accurately. The “clear-sky” bias introduced by the OCO sampling strategy must be understood with respect to the CO_2 diurnal cycle and the passage of weather systems through a location between OCO observational revisits. The sampling protocols of the FTS validation network [Yang *et al.*, 2002] and the GLOBALVIEW- CO_2 network will each introduce unique biases into these data; all data must be analyzed in “bias-free” form for accurate inverse modeling [Olsen and Randerson, 2004]. Synthesis inversion biases due to inadequate atmospheric transport modeling must also be removed.

A demonstration of the ability of the OCO measurement concept to constrain regional fluxes of CO_2 from Asia of the OCO X_{CO_2} data was provided by simulated sampling of the TRACE-P CO_2 data fields [Suntharalingam *et al.*, 2004]. This OSSE quantified the relationship between X_{CO_2} data precision and the ability to discriminate surface CO_2 fluxes from 5 distinct regions in East and Southeast Asia. It was found that space-based X_{CO_2} measurements with 1 ppm (0.3%) precision constrain carbon fluxes originating from Asia on monthly time scales. These experiments further demonstrate that OCO-like X_{CO_2} data can distinguish regional CO_2 fluxes from China and India.

REFERENCES

- O'Brien, D. M., and P. J. Rayner (2002), Global observations of the carbon budget, 2, CO_2 column from differential absorption of reflected sunlight in the 1.61 μm band of CO_2 , *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D18), 4354, doi:10.1029/2001JD000617.
- Olsen, S. C., and J. T. Randerson (2004), Differences between surface and column atmospheric CO_2 and implications for carbon cycle research, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D02301, doi:10.1029/2003JD003968.
- Rayner, P. J. and D. M. O'Brien (2001), The utility of remotely sensed CO_2 concentration data in surface source inversions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 175-178.
- Rayner, P. J., R. M. Law, D. M. O'Brien, T. M. Butler, and A. C. Dilley (2002), Global observations of the carbon budget, 3, Initial assessment of the impact of satellite orbit, scan geometry, and cloud on measuring CO_2 from space, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D21), 4557, doi:10.1029/2001JD000618.
- Schnell, R. C., D. B. King, and R. M. Rosson (2001), Climate Modeling and Diagnostics Laboratory Summary Report No. 25 (1998-1999), Report No. 25, 2001 and GLOBALVIEW- CO_2 : Cooperative Atmospheric Integration Project- Carbon Dioxide, NOAA CMDL, Boulder, CO.
<http://www/cmdl.noaa.gov/ccgg/globalview/co2>
- Suntharalingam, P., D. J. Jacob, P. I. Palmer, J. A. Logan, R. M. Yantosca, Y. Xiao, M. J. Evans, D. G. Streets, S. L. Vay, and G. W. Sachse (2004), Improved quantification of Chinese carbon fluxes using CO_2/CO correlations in Asian outflow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D18S18, doi:10.1029/2003JD004362.
- Yang, Z. H., G. C. Toon, G. C., J. S. Margolis, J. S., and P. O. Wennberg (2002), Atmospheric CO_2 retrieved from ground-based near IR spectra, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(9), doi:10.1029/2001GL014537.