

## IV. ABSORPTION CROSS SECTIONS

*Review of Ultraviolet Absorption Cross Sections of a Series of Alternative  
Fluorocarbons*

**M. J. Molina**  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91109



**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Solar photolysis is likely to contribute significantly to the stratospheric destruction of those alternative fluorocarbons (HFC's) which have two or more chlorine atoms bonded to the same carbon atom. Two of the eight HFC's considered in this review fall into this category, namely HFC-123 and HFC-141b. For these two species there is good agreement among the various measurements of the ultraviolet cross sections in the wavelength region which is important for atmospheric photodissociation, that is, around 200 nm. There is also good agreement for HFC-124, HFC-22 and HFC 142b; these are the three species which contain one chlorine atom per molecule. The agreement in the measurements is poor for the other species, i.e., those that do not contain chlorine, except in so far as to corroborate that solar photolysis should be negligible relative to destruction by hydroxyl radicals.



**N92-15438**

**REVIEW OF ULTRAVIOLET  
ABSORPTION CROSS SECTIONS OF A SERIES  
OF ALTERNATIVE FLUOROCARBONS**

**Mario J. Molina**

**Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California 91109**

**PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED**



## ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRA

The main atmospheric sink for the alternative fluorocarbons (HFC's) is reaction with hydroxyl radicals. Ultraviolet photolysis can, however, be an important additional destruction process in the stratosphere, and it may affect significantly their ozone depletion potential.

There are very few measurements reported in the literature of UV absorption cross sections for the HFC's that are likely to be of industrial importance. This review is focussed on eight of these compounds, namely HFC-123, 141b, 142b, 22, 124, 134a, 152a and 125. There are three sets of very recent measurements which have not been published yet, but which are included in this review. In general, the agreement around the 200 nm wavelength range—the important atmospheric “window” for solar photodissociation—is good (within 20%) for those species with significant absorptions, namely those containing two chlorine atoms bonded to the same carbon atom (HCFC-123 AND 141b; see Tables 1 and 2). The agreement is equally good for HCFC-124, (Table 3) and it is reasonable for HCFC 142b (Table 4) but it is rather poor for those species not containing chlorine (HFC-134a and 152a). However, it is clear that except for the first

**Table 1.** Absorption Cross Sections of HCFC-123 (CHCl<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>3</sub>)

$\lambda$ (nm)	$10^{20} \sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)			
	(Ref. #)			
	1	2	3	4
185	—	106.7	115*	96.0
190	56.5	55.8	60.3	52.4*
195	26.7	25.5	28.8*	23.6
200	11.3	10.4	12.2	9.95*
205	4.45	3.94	5.2*	3.88
210	1.73	1.47	0.651	1.53*
215	0.651	0.557	0.268*	0.57
220	0.254	0.198	0.112	0.21*
225	0.099	0.073	0.051*	0.079

\* Estimated by linear interpolation

1 Orlando et al., 1989

2 Molina and Molina, 1989

3 Gillotay et al., 1989

4 Allied-Signal Corp. (Private Communication) 1989

## UV CROSS SECTIONS

**Table 2.** Absorption Cross Sections of HCFC-141b (CHCl<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>3</sub>)

$\lambda$ (nm)	$10^{20} \sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)			
	(Ref. #)			
	1	2	3	4
185	—	142.3	137.5*	109
190	79.5	85.8	86.3	70.5*
195	40.4	41.8	43.2*	38.0
200	17.1	16.8	18.3	17.4*
205	6.38	5.96	7.02*	6.78
210	2.38	2.06	2.35	2.86*
215	0.974	0.692	0.804*	1.24
220	0.482	0.235	0.267	0.51*
225	0.326	0.083	0.094*	—

\* Estimated by linear interpolation

1 Orlando et al., 1989

2 Molina and Molina, 1989

3 Gillotay et al., 1989

4 Allied-Signal Corp. (Private Communication) 1989

two species, HCFC-123 and 141b, atmospheric photolysis is a minor process and that reaction with hydroxyl radicals occurs much faster throughout the troposphere and stratosphere.

There are no absorption cross section measurements in the 200 nm wavelength region for HFC-125. However, considering that this is a saturated hydrocarbon with only fluorine, hydrogen and carbon atoms, the absorption in that wavelength region should be negligible. In general, at those wavelengths the absorption increases sharply with the number of chlorine atoms per carbon atom, and it decreases with substitution of fluorine for hydrogen.

The absorption cross sections for the HCFC's have a significant temperature dependency in the atmospherically important wavelength range. Only one group has reported measurements at various temperatures (Orlando et al., 1989). Furthermore, for HFC-123, 141b and 124 the room temperature values measured by this same group around 200 nm are close to the average of the values reported in the other studies. Hence, the recommendation at present is to use the values given by Ravishankara et al. at various temperatures (see Tables 5-7). This provides a more consistent set of data than, for example, the average of

Table 3. Absorption Cross Sections of HCFC-124 (CHClF-CF<sub>3</sub>)

$\lambda$ (nm)	$10^{20} \sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)		
	(Ref. #)		
	1	2	4
185	—	1.82	—
190	0.735	0.799	0.77*
195	0.316	0.331	0.32*
200	0.128	0.129	0.125*
205	0.0482	0.0466	0.04
210	0.0182	0.0168	0.02
215	0.0072	0.0062	—
220	0.0032	0.0023	—
225	0.0020	0.00015	—

\* Estimated by linear interpolation

1 Orlando et al., 1989

2 Molina and Molina, 1989

4 Allied-Signal Corp. (Private Communication) 1989

all values, which would then have to be adjusted at each temperature with only one of the data sets contributing to the temperature dependency.

The compound HCFC-142b, namely CClF<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>, appears to absorb UV radiation about as strongly as HCFC 124; both species have a single chlorine atom per molecule, and atmospheric photolysis is unlikely to be of importance. For HCFC-142b there is agreement in the cross section values reported by Orlando et al. (1989), Allied-Signal Corp., Private Communication (1989), (1989), Hubrich and Stuhl (1980), and Gillotay et al. (1989) (see Table 4). The recommendation is, here again, to use the data and the temperature dependency reported by Orlando et al. (Table 8).

For HCFC-22, the recommended cross section values, listed in Table 9, are those obtained by Simon et al. (1988), as a function of temperature. These values are in reasonable agreement with the earlier recommendation in the report of the NASA Panel for Data Evaluation, which was based on room temperature data only. The Allied Co. group reported cross sections for this compound which are also in reasonable agreement with the data of Table 9.

For the HCFC's 123, 141b, 22, 124 and 142b, the error factor in the atmospheric photodissociation rates which arises from uncertainties in the cross section data is estimated as 1.2 (this is the error factor defined in the NASA Panel for Data Evaluation reports). The uncertainty in the cross sections increases rapidly beyond 210 nm, but the available solar intensity in the stratosphere decreases also rapidly. It should be noted that the values given by Orlando et al. beyond 210 nm become significantly larger than the ones

## UV CROSS SECTIONS

**Table 4.** Absorption Cross Sections of HCFC-124b (CHClF<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>3</sub>)

$\lambda$ (nm)	$10^{20} \sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)			
	(Ref. #)			
	1	3	4	5
185	—	2.57*	2.47	2.79
190	0.853	1.02	1.07*	1.02
195	0.349	0.403*	0.42	0.405
200	0.132	0.150	0.16*	0.158
205	0.046	0.0527*	0.046	0.0618
210	0.015	0.0172	—	0.0254
215	0.0052	0.0051*	—	0.0108
220	0.0018	—	—	0.0040
225	0.0004	—	—	0.0016

\* Estimated by linear interpolation

1 Orlando et al., 1989

3 Gillotay et al., 1989

4 Allied-Signal Corp. (Private Communication) 1989

5 Hubrich and Stuhl, 1980

measured by Gillotay et al.; this discrepancy remains to be resolved. Also, the ratio of the cross section value at a given temperature to the corresponding value at 295 K is expected to be a smooth function of wavelength and temperature, and this is not the case for the data given in Tables 5 to 8 for wavelengths longer than about 210 nm; this is another indication of the rapidly increasing uncertainties.

As is the case for the fully halogenated hydrocarbons, the quantum yields for photodissociation for the HCFC's are expected to be unity, given the continuous nature of their UV absorption spectra. Furthermore, the primary products at the atmospherically important wavelengths are most likely a chlorine atom plus the residual organic free radical.

As mentioned above, there are no measurements of the UV spectrum of HFC-125, and there is very significant disagreement in the cross section values for the other two fluorocarbons considered in this review which do not contain chlorine (HFC-134a and 152a). Two groups have measured cross sections around 200 nm for these last two species, Molina and Molina (1989) and Allied-Signal Corp. (1989), with values differing by two orders of magnitude. The most likely explanation is that the small absorptions observed around 200 nm are strongly influenced by impurities, which differ from sample to sample. While this is not at all a satisfactory situation from the point of view of the proper spectroscopic characterization of these compounds, it turns out that the atmospheric implications are minor: photolysis can be neglected even assuming the largest reported cross section values.

A very conservative upper limit for the cross section values for HFC-134a and 152a is given by the cross sections corresponding to HCFC-22 (Table 9), for which solar photolysis is unimportant.

UV CROSS SECTIONS

**Table 5.** Recommended Absorption Cross Sections for HCFC-123 ( $\text{CHCl}_2\text{-CF}_3$ ), taken from Orlando et al., 1989.

$\lambda$ (nm)	$\sigma$ ( $\text{cm}^2/\text{molecule}$ )	
	295K	223K
190	5.65E-19	5.09E-19
191	4.66E-19	4.33E-19
192	4.08E-19	3.76E-19
193	3.48E-19	3.14E-19
194	3.02E-19	2.71E-19
195	2.54E-19	2.25E-19
196	2.14E-19	1.87E-19
197	1.87E-19	1.58E-19
198	1.53E-19	1.3E-19
199	1.27E-19	1.07E-19
200	1.08E-19	8.87E-20
201	8.9E-20	7.25E-20
202	7.35E-20	5.93E-20
203	6.26E-20	4.99E-20
204	5.14E-20	4.08E-20
205	4.21E-20	3.33E-20
206	3.5E-20	2.71E-20
207	2.86E-20	2.21E-20
208	2.4E-20	1.85E-20
209	1.98E-20	1.51E-20
210	1.62E-20	1.24E-20
211	1.32E-20	1.02E-20
212	1.1E-20	8.47E-21
213	9.04E-21	6.94E-21
214	7.44E-21	5.97E-21
215	6.2E-21	4.92E-21
216	5.14E-21	4.12E-21
217	4.1E-21	3.52E-21
218	3.37E-21	3.01E-21
219	2.86E-21	2.48E-21
220	2.41E-21	2.17E-21

## UV CROSS SECTIONS

**Table 6.** Recommended Absorption Cross Sections for HCFC-141b ( $\text{CHCl}_2\text{-CF}_3$ ), taken from Orlando et al., 1989 .

$\lambda$ (nm)	$\sigma$ ( $\text{cm}^2/\text{molecule}$ )	
	295K	223K
190	7.95E-19	7.42E-19
191	7.05E-19	6.46E-19
192	6.3E-19	5.71E-19
193	5.4E-19	4.83E-19
194	4.77E-19	4.06E-19
195	4.04E-19	3.47E-19
196	3.43E-19	2.89E-19
197	2.94E-19	2.44E-19
198	2.44E-19	1.97E-19
199	2.02E-19	1.59E-19
200	1.71E-19	1.33E-19
201	1.4E-19	1.06E-19
202	1.15E-19	8.51E-20
203	9.67E-20	7.03E-20
204	7.86E-20	5.61E-20
205	6.38E-20	4.47E-20
206	5.21E-20	3.57E-20
207	4.24E-20	2.84E-20
208	3.56E-20	2.35E-20
209	2.91E-20	1.89E-20
210	2.38E-20	1.52E-20
211	1.95E-20	1.22E-20
212	1.62E-20	1.01E-20
213	1.34E-20	8.14E-21
214	1.16E-20	6.9E-21
215	9.74E-21	5.78E-21
216	8.2E-21	4.82E-21
217	7.11E-21	4E-21
218	6.34E-21	3E-21
219	5.41E-21	2.58E-21
220	4.82E-21	2.21E-21

**Table 7.** Recommended Absorption Cross Sections for HCFC-124 (CHClCF<sub>3</sub>), taken from Orlando, et al., 1989

$\lambda$ (nm)	$\sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)	
	295K	223K
190	7.35E-21	4.79E-21
191	6.16E-21	4.02E-21
192	5.29E-21	3.52E-21
193	4.41E-21	2.95E-21
194	3.79E-21	2.53E-21
195	3.16E-21	2.09E-21
196	2.61E-21	1.73E-21
197	2.21E-21	1.47E-21
198	1.83E-21	1.21E-21
199	1.51E-21	9.91E-22
200	1.28E-21	8.31E-22
201	1.05E-21	6.77E-22
202	8.6E-22	5.49E-22
203	7.23E-22	4.57E-22
204	5.92E-22	3.71E-22
205	4.82E-22	2.97E-22
206	3.95E-22	2.39E-22
207	3.22E-22	1.93E-22
208	2.62E-22	1.59E-22
209	2.21E-22	1.28E-22
210	1.82E-22	1.03E-22
211	1.48E-22	8.25E-23
212	1.22E-22	6.76E-23
213	1E-22	5.44E-23
214	8.29E-23	4.57E-23
215	7.2E-23	3.8E-23
216	6.05E-23	3.17E-23
217	5.03E-23	2.81E-23
218	4.33E-23	2.42E-23
219	3.77E-23	2.1E-23
220	3.22E-23	1.88E-23

## UV CROSS SECTIONS

**Table 8.** Recommended Absorption Cross Sections for HCFC-142b ( $\text{CHCl}_2\text{F-CH}_3$ ), taken from Orlando et al., 1989

$\lambda$ (nm)	$\sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)	
	295K	223K
190	8.53E-21	6.38E-21
191	7.16E-21	5.27E-21
192	6.18E-21	4.47E-21
193	5.01E-21	3.61E-21
194	4.29E-21	3.05E-21
195	3.49E-21	2.45E-21
196	2.86E-21	1.98E-21
197	2.39E-21	1.64E-21
198	1.95E-21	1.32E-21
199	1.59E-21	1.06E-21
200	1.32E-21	8.72E-22
201	1.07E-21	6.96E-22
202	8.65E-22	5.53E-22
203	7.18E-22	4.57E-22
204	5.79E-22	3.62E-22
205	4.65E-22	2.87E-22
206	3.71E-22	2.31E-22
207	3.01E-22	1.83E-22
208	2.48E-22	1.51E-22
209	1.97E-22	1.22E-22
210	1.58E-22	9.8E-23
211	1.26E-22	7.94E-23
212	1.01E-22	6.58E-23
213	8.19E-23	5.21E-23
214	6.86E-23	4.46E-23
215	5.41E-23	3.88E-23
216	4.43E-23	3.5E-23
217	3.71E-23	2.93E-23
218	2.98E-23	2.69E-23
219	2.37E-23	2.44E-23
220	2.08E-23	2.17E-23

**Table 9.** Absorption Cross Section of HCFC-22 (CHClF<sub>2</sub>), taken from Simon et al., 1988.

$\lambda$ (nm)	$10^{20} \sigma$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /molecule)				
	295K	270K	250K	230K	210K
174	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72
176	4.04	4.04	4.04	4.04	4.04
178	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
180	1.91	1.91	1.91	1.91	1.91
182	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28
184	0.842	0.842	0.842	0.842	0.842
186	0.576	0.576	0.576	0.576	0.576
188	0.372	0.372	0.372	0.372	0.372
190	0.245	0.245	0.245	0.245	0.245
192	0.156	0.156	0.156	0.152	0.148
194	0.103	0.102	0.099	0.096	0.093
196	0.072	0.069	0.067	0.064	0.062
198	0.048	0.045	0.043	0.041	0.039
200	0.032	0.029	0.0278	0.0259	0.0246
202	0.0220	0.0192	0.0184	0.0169	0.0159
204	0.0142	0.0121	0.0114	0.0104	0.0096

